UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,140	12/07/2004	Yuichi Inada	59559.00016	6829
	7590 12/28/2006 DERS & DEMPSEY L.	EXAMINER		
14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			DAVIS, ROBERT B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1722	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/28/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Analiantin Na	Applicantic			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/517,140 Examiner	INADA ET AL. Art Unit			
•	Robert B. Davis	1722			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 No.	ovember 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
·— · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1, 3-9 and 11-13 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 10 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 9)	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/517,140 Page 2

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 14, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Publication 10-626 (see the English abstract and the computer translation).

The Japanese publication teaches a disk made by an injection molding die.

Claim 10 is a product-by-process claim and the only reliance on claim 1 is that the product is molded using the mold of claim 1. The claim is patentable based on the structure of the product and not by the mold in which it is molded. The determination of patentability in product by process claims is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Brown, 459 F.2d 531,

Art Unit: 1722

535, 173 USPQ 685, 688 (CCPA 1972); In re Pilkington, 411 F.2d 1345, 1348, 162 USPQ 145, 147 (CCPA 1969); MPEP § 2113. Thus, in the instant case, claim 10 merely defines a disc-shaped molded product. JP '626 in disclosing a disc-molding machine and method of using such inherently discloses a disc-shaped molded product as well.

4. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sandstrom et al (USPGPub 2002/0058084; previously of record).

As noted above in the rejection in view of JP '626, claim 10 is a product by process that merely defines a disc-shaped molded product. Sandstrom discloses a molded disc-shaped product (Fig. I), and thus anticipates claim I0.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1, 3-9 and 11-13 are allowed over the prior art of record for the reasons of record in applicant's response dated 11/14/06.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 11/14/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the differences between the Japanese publication –626 and Sandstrom to distinguish claim 10, which is a product-by-process claim. Clearly, the scope of claim 10 is a product claim and patentability can only be determined based upon the structure of the product. See In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, cited previously. Applicant must distinguish the structure of the product from the products of the prior art. This has not been done or argued as applicant persists upon the stance that a product claim is allowable because of the structure of the apparatus with which it is produced. Such is clearly not supported by the case law of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,140 Page 4

Art Unit: 1722

7. Please not that the examiner in charge of this application has changed. Please note the contact information below.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert B. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-1129. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert B. Davis
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1722

12/22/06